Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for July 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, July 2016*

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	193	75.7%	45	23.3%
Violent	62	24.3%	3	4.8%
TOTAL	255	100%	48	18.8%

^{*} Ineligible not included in analysis (18 or 6.6%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=255)

	Non-Violent (193)		Violent (62)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Vanessa Price (Chair)	54	28.0%	1	1.6%
Patricia High (Vice-Chair)				
Robert "Brett" Macy	30	15.5	2	3.2
Thomas Gillert	55	28.5	6	9.7
William Latimer	62	32.1	6	9.7
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	45	23.3%	3	4.8%

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=255)

	Victim	District Attorney	Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	1	20	19
Violent	0	6	2
TOTAL PROTESTS	1	26	21

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=45)

Table 4. Conditions of Larole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=43)	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	38	84.4%
Substance Abuse Treatment	37	82.2
Psychological Counseling	22	48.9
Sober Living Facility	11	24.4
Anger Management	10	22.2
Community Level/Work Release	10	22.2
Interlock Device	10	22.2
Consecutive Sentence	6	13.3
Education/GED	4	8.9
Thinking for a Change	4	8.9
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	3	6.7
Detainer	3	6.7
Tribal Re-Entry Program	3	6.7
Battle Buddies	2	4.4
Restitution	2	4.4

^{*}The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post-release while on parole. Limitations may include urinallysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender (n=45)

	Number	Percent
One	7	15.6%
Two	5	11.1
Three	5	11.1
Four	10	22.2
Five	15	33.3
Six	3	6.7
Total	45	100%
Average Number of Conditions (per offender)	3.7	
Range	5	